1	Novel Plant Transformation Vectors Containing the Super-promoter
2	
3	Lan-Ying Lee ^{*†} , Maria E. Kononov ^{*†1} , Burgund Bassuner ^{†2} , Bronwyn R.
4	Frame ^{††} , Kan Wang ^{††} , and Stanton B. Gelvin ^{†3}
5	
6	[†] Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1392
7	†† Center for Plant Transformation, Plant Science Institute, and Department of
8	Agronomy, Ames, IA 50011-1010
9	
10	Keywords: super-promoter; plant transformation vectors; T-DNA binary vector;
11	promoter; intron; translational enhancer.
12	Running title: Super-promoter T-DNA binary vectors
13	^{1,2} Current addresses: Maria Kononov
14	Voyager Pharmaceutical Corp.
15	8640 Colonnade Dr., Suite 501
16	Raleigh, NC 27615
17	
18	Burgund Bassuner
19	Missouri Botanical Garden
20	P.O. Box 299
21	St. Louis, MO 63166-0299
22	³ To whom correspondence should be addressed
23	Telephone: 765-494-4939
24	FAX: 765-496-1496
25	e-mail: gelvin@bilbo.bio.purdue.edu
26	*These authors contributed equally to the work presented in this paper
27	

_

Abstract

2 We developed novel plasmids and T-DNA binary vectors that incorporate a modified and 3 more useful form of the super-promoter. The super-promoter consists of a trimer of the 4 octopine synthase (ocs) transcriptional activating element affixed to the mannopine 5 synthase 2' (mas2') transcriptional activating element plus minimal promoter. We tested 6 a super-promoter/gusA fusion gene in stably transformed tobacco and maize plants, and 7 in transiently transformed maize BMS protoplasts. In both tobacco and maize, super-8 promoter activity was much greater in roots than in leaves. In tobacco, super-promoter 9 activity was greater in mature leaves than in young leaves, whereas in maize activity 10 differed little among the tested aerial portions of the plant. When compared with other 11 commonly used promoters (CaMV 35S, mas2', and maize ubiquitin), super-promoter 12 activity was approximately equivalent to those of the other promoters in both maize BMS 13 suspension cells and in stably transformed plants. The addition of a maize ubiquitin 14 intron downstream of the super-promoter did not enhance activity in stably transformed 15 maize.

1

Introduction

2 The availability of convenient vectors harboring a strong promoter that is active in 3 all or most cells of different plant species would be useful for a variety of applications in 4 plant molecular biology. We previously described a novel synthetic promoter consisting 5 of a trimer of the octopine synthase transcriptional activating element (ocs activator) 6 linked to the mannopine synthase 2' (mas2') activator/promoter region (Ni et al., 1995). 7 Initial studies in tobacco indicated that this promoter, called the "super-promoter", could 8 direct expression of GUS activity to a level 2- to 20-fold higher than the commonly used 9 "enhanced" double Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV) 35S promoter (Ni et al., 1995). 10 The activity of the super-promoter was highest in roots, but was also high in leaves and 11 stems.

12 The super-promoter was originally created by ligating three ocs activator 13 fragments (positions -333 to -116 relative to the transcription start site [Leisner and 14 Gelvin, 1988]) from the octopine synthase gene to the mas2' activator/promoter region (-15 318 to +65 relative to the transcription start site; [Ellis et al., 1984]), all from the 16 Agrobacterium tumefaciens Ti-plasmid pTiA6. The construction of the original super-17 promoter resulted in the repeated presence within the promoter of several commonly used 18 restriction endonuclease sites (BamHI, EcoRI, HindIII), as well as the presence of the 19 restriction endonuclease sites *PstI*, and *XhoI*. This feature precluded one from easily 20 linking genes to the promoter. In addition, it complicated further analysis of T-DNA 21 insertions in the plant genome. We therefore modified the super-promoter, eliminating 22 most of these internal restriction endonuclease sites. This modified super-promoter 23 formed the basis for the construction of several novel plant expression and T-DNA binary 24 vectors. Here, we describe these vectors and the use of the super-promoter to promote 25 reporter gusA gene expression in tobacco and maize.

- 26
- 27

Results and Discussion

28 Construction of super-promoter cassettes in pUC119

To provide researchers with convenient tools for various applications in plant gene expression, we constructed expression cassettes containing the <u>modified super-</u> <u>promoter (MSP)</u>. The plasmid pUC119 (Vieira and Messing, 1987; GenBank accession 1 U07650) formed the basis for these initial cassettes (the pMSP series), whose maps are
2 shown in Figure 1.

3 Plasmid pMSP-1 contains the MSP followed by a multiple cloning site (mcs) and 4 a polyA addition signal. Translational enhancers (TL) from plant viruses (e.g., the omega 5 element from Tobacco Mosaic Virus [Gallie and Walbot, 1992] and the TL enhancer 6 element from Tobacco Etch Virus [TEV; Restrepo et al., 1990]) may enhance the level of 7 gene expression two- to three-fold (Holtorf et al., 1995). We constructed a second 8 cassette, pMSP-2, with the TL enhancer element from TEV linked downstream of the 9 super-promoter. The presence of introns within the 5' untranslated region of genes often 10 enhances expression in maize (Mascarenhas et al., 1990) and other cereals (Cornejo et al., 11 1993). We therefore created a third cassette, pMSP-3, containing a maize *adh1* intron 12 following the super-promoter for improved expression in monocot plant species.

We subsequently constructed plant transformation vectors, based upon pMSP-1,
pMSP-2, and pMSP-3, in the T-DNA binary vectors pGPTV-KAN, pGPTV-HPT, and
pGPTV-BAR (Becker et al., 1992). Figure 2 shows maps of these vectors.

16 In summary, we modified the original super-promoter (Ni et al., 1995) by 17 eliminating most of the commonly used restriction endonuclease sites from within the 18 promoter sequence. We constructed 21 new vectors, based upon pUC119 and the T-19 DNA binary vector pGPTV, containing the modified super-promoter. These vectors have 20 the following features: (1) the modified super-promoter; (2) various plant regulatory 21 sequences for improved gene expression (either a maize *adh1* intron for expression in 22 monocot plant species or a translation enhancer (TL) from Tobacco Etch Virus for 23 expression in dicot plant species); (3) a multiple cloning site with 8-16 unique restriction 24 endonuclease sites (depending upon the vector) downstream of the promoter for cloning 25 of genes and for more facile analysis of T-DNA insertions in the plant genome; (4) the 26 agropine or nopaline synthase polyA addition signals; (5) markers for binary vectors for 27 selection in plants using kanamycin, hygromycin, or phosphinothricin/Basta/Bialophos.

28

29 Activity of the super-promoter in transgenic tobacco and maize

30 We previously showed that in transgenic tobacco, a super-promoter-*gusA* 31 construction was ~5-fold more active in roots than in leaves (Ni et al., 1995). We carried

1 out a more detailed investigation of the activity of the super-promoter in various organs 2 of stably transformed tobacco and maize plants containing a super-promoter-gusA 3 expression cassette. These tests were conducted before construction of the current series 4 of super-promoter vectors described above; the constructs therefore did not have the 5 exact same configuration as do the current vectors. In addition, our original constructions 6 contained (when applicable) the maize ubiquitin intron, rather than the maize *adh1* intron 7 incorporated into the current set of vectors. However, tests in transgenic tobacco 8 indicated that the current super-promoter configuration behaves in a manner almost 9 identical to that of the older version of the super-promoter (data not shown). Figure 3 10 shows that in tobacco, GUS activity was greatest in older (lower) leaves than in younger 11 (upper) leaves. Interestingly, this is opposite to the expression pattern directed by the 12 CaMV 35S promoter, which directs expression of transgenes most strongly in younger, 13 meristematic tissues (Williamson et al., 1989). Conversely, GUS activity in young root 14 tips was much higher than that in older portions of the roots. The pattern of expression in 15 transgenic maize, however, was different. There was relatively little difference in GUS 16 activity among younger or older leaves. As with the situation in transgenic tobacco, GUS 17 activity in transgenic maize roots was considerably greater than that in leaves.

18

19 Comparison of the activities of the super-promoter and other promoters in maize

20 We previously showed that the super-promoter was as strong as or stronger than 21 several commonly used promoters in transgenic tobacco (Ni et al., 1995). We therefore 22 asked how the activity of the super-promoter compared with that of other promoters in 23 monocots. Figure 4 shows a comparison of the relative strengths of the super-promoter, 24 the mas2' promoter, the CaMV 35S promoter (-800 from pBI121; Jefferson et al., 1987), 25 and the maize ubiquitin promoter in transgenic maize. Within a factor of two, all of these 26 transcriptional regulatory sequences promoted approximately the same level of GUS 27 activity in leaves, and also in roots. These data indicate that the super-promoter functions 28 as well as other commonly used promoters in these maize tissues.

29

30 Response of the super-promoter and other promoters to the presence of introns

1 The presence of introns enhances the activity of promoters for gene expression, 2 especially in monocots (Cornejo et al., 1993; Mascarenhas et al., 1990). We therefore 3 tested whether the presence of introns would enhance the activity of the super-promoter 4 and several commonly used promoters in maize. Figure 5 shows the constructions that 5 we tested. Each set of constructions contains either the super-promoter, the mas2' 6 promoter, the CaMV 35S promoter, or the maize ubiquitin promoter. Set I contains no 7 intron in the gusA gene, Set II contains the potato ST-LS1 intron (Vancanneyt et al., 8 1990) in the gusA coding sequence, and Set III contains a maize ubiquitin intron 9 preceding the gusA coding sequence. As a control, we also generated a promoter-less 10 gusA construction.

We first tested these constructions in transiently transformed maize BMS protoplasts. Within a factor of 2.5, all of these constructions (except the control, promoterless *gusA* gene which yielded only a background level of activity) elicited approximately the same amount of GUS activity. Figure 6 shows the average data from four independent experiments. Thus, in transient expression assays, all of these promoter/intron combinations functioned equally well in maize suspension cells.

17 Promoters may have different activities depending upon whether they direct 18 expression from non-integrated transgenes in transiently transformed cells, or from 19 integrated transgenes that may be under transcriptional constraints of assembled 20 chromatin (see, e.g., Frisch et al., 1995). Strong expression of a linked chloramphenicol 21 acetyltransferase (CAT) reporter gene directed by a maize ubiquitin promoter plus 22 ubiquitin leader intron in electroporated maize BMS cells has previously been described 23 by Christensen et al. (1992). Enhancement of gene expression, resulting from increased 24 stability of cytoplasmic mRNA, has also been reported as a result of incorporating the 25 maize Adh1 intron into reporter constructions (Callis et al., 1987; Luehrsen and Walbot, 26 1994). We therefore tested, in stably transformed maize plants, the response of each of 27 four promoters to the presence of the maize ubiquitin intron. Interestingly, the activity of 28 only the ubiquitin promoter increased in maize leaves in the presence of the ubiquitin 29 intron (Figure 7). This response was up to 55-fold, indicating that, amongst the eight 30 promoter/intron combinations tested, the strongest transcriptional regulatory sequence in 31 maize is clearly the maize ubiquitin promoter followed by the maize ubiquitin intron.

1 Our results contrast with those of Callis et al. (1987) who demonstrated that 2 introduction of the Adh1 first intron into constructions containing either the CaMV 35S 3 or nopaline synthase (nos) promoters increased activity of a linked CAT gene 8- and 170-4 fold, respectively. Addition of a maize *Bronzel* (*Bz1*) intron to the CaMV 35S promoter 5 also stimulated CAT activity. This stimulation was associated with increased steady-state 6 levels of CAT mRNA. However, these authors utilized Adh1 and Bz1 introns, rather than 7 the ubiquitin intron used in our study, and they performed their analyses in transiently 8 transfected maize BMS protoplasts. It is possible that the maize ubiquitin promoter plus 9 intron combination functions optimally in stably transformed maize tissues, a system not 10 tested by Callis et al. (1987).

11

12 Use of the super-promoter in various plant species

13 We re-configured the original super-promoter (Ni et al., 1995) such that it could 14 easily be utilized for gene expression in a number of plant species. Over the past ~ 10 15 years, our laboratory has distributed the various super-promoter constructions to dozens 16 of laboratories. In our laboratory, the super-promoter has routinely and effectively been 17 used to drive both transient and stable transgene expression in tobacco plants and BY-2 18 cell suspensions (Ni et al., 1995; He et al., 1996; Narasimhulu et al., 1996; Kononov et 19 al., 1997; Mysore et al., 1998; Veena et al., 2003; Lee and Gelvin, 2004), Arabidopsis 20 plants and cell suspensions (Nam et al., 1997, 1998; 1999; Mysore et al., 2000; Yi et al., 21 2002; Zhu et al., 2003; Gaspar et al., 2004; Hwang et al., 2004; Hwang and Gelvin, 2006; 22 Crane and Gelvin, 2007; Kim et al., 2007), maize plants and BMS cell suspensions 23 (Narasimhulu et al., 1996), and Brassica napus plants (S. Johnson and S.B. Gelvin, 24 unpublished). Others have shown that transcriptional regulatory sequences very similar 25 to those of the super-promoter appear to be less susceptible to silencing than are other 26 commonly used promoters, including the CaMV 35S and Cassava Vein Mosaic Virus 27 promoters (De Bolle et al., 2003; Butaye et al., 2004).

The vectors described in this article can be obtained by contacting Dr. Stanton B.
Gelvin (gelvin@bilbo.bio.purdue.edu) following completion of a Materials Transfer
Agreement (MTA).

1	DNA sequences of the super-promoter regions of pMSP-1, pMSP-2, and pMSP-3
2	can be found as GenBank accessions EU181145 (pMSP-1), EU181146 (pMSP-2), and
3	EU181147 (pMSP-3).
4	
5	Acknowledgments
6	This work was supported by grants from the Biotechnology Research and Development
7	Corporation (BRDC), the Corporation for Plant Biotechnology Research (CPBR), and the
8	NSF (Plant Genome grant #0110023).
9	
10	Materials and Methods
11	Super-promoter and vector constructions
12	The original super-promoter contains a trimer of the ocs activator sequence
13	(Aocs), cloned as a HindIII fragment, upstream of the mas2' activator plus promoter in
14	pE1120. We modified the original super-promoter as follows:
15	We removed the super-promoter from pE1120 by partial digestion with HindIII
16	plus complete digestion with XbaI. We cloned this fragment into the HindIII and XbaI
17	sites of pBluescriptKS(-) to generate the plasmid pE1037. Digestion of pE1037 with
18	HindIII removed the three ocs activator sequences from the super-promoter. The single
19	HindIII site within this resulting plasmid (pE1048) was converted into a BamHI site by
20	filling in the overhanging nucleotides using Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase
21	followed by annealing a BamHI linker, generating pE1049. We likewise converted the
22	HindIII sites flanking Aocs into BamHI sites. We cloned this new Aocs fragment into
23	pE1049. Screening of the resulting colonies yielded insertions of a single Aocs fragment
24	(in either orientation), insertions of a dimer of the Aocs fragment (both in the correct or
25	both in inverted orientation), and a trimer of the Aocs fragment (all three in inverted
26	orientation; pE1054).
27	We continued to modify pE1054, first by removing a PstI site within the super-
28	promoter, and subsequently transferring the newly modified super-promoter region into
29	the SalI and XbaI sites of pUC119, generating pU Δ P (pE1466). We next removed a XhoI

31 fragment of DNA polymerase, generating $pU\Delta P\Delta X$ (pE1467). We digested pE1467 with

30

site from the super-promoter region of pE1466 by filling in the XhoI site using Klenow

1 HindIII and SalI, filled-in these sites using Klenow fragment, and ligated the blunted 2 ends to remove sites (*HindIII, SphI, PstI, BspMI*, and *SalI*) between *HindIII* and *SalI*, 3 generating $pU\Delta P\Delta X$ -1.

4 We digested $pU\Delta P\Delta X$ -1 with *Eco*RI, filled in the overhanging ends using Klenow 5 fragment, and ligated into this site a 401 bp HincII-EcoRV fragment containing the 6 agrocinopine synthase (ags) terminator (ags-ter) from pTiA6, generating pU Δ P Δ X-2 7 (pE1694). We digested pU Δ P Δ X-2 with XbaI and SmaI, filled in the overhanging ends 8 using Klenow fragment, and self-ligated the molecules, removing the XbaI, BamHI, and 9 *Sma*I sites and generating the plasmid $pU\Delta P\Delta X$ -3 (pE1695).

10 We digested pBluescript KS(-) with *Eco*RV and inserted a linker containing *Bgl*II 11 and *Bcl* sites. This plasmid, called pBB (pE1505) contains a new multiple cloning site 12 region. We digested $pU\Delta P\Delta X$ -3 with KpnI and SacI and inserted the multiple cloning 13 site region from pBB using *Kpn*I and *Sac*I, generating pMSP-1 (pE1578).

14 Constructions in *E. coli* were generated in strain DH10B. T-DNA binary vectors 15 were introduced into A. tumefaciens EHA101 (Hood et al., 1986) or EHA105 (Hood et 16 al., 1993). E. coli strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing the 17 appropriate antibiotics (ampicillin, 100 µg/ml; kanamycin, 25 µg/ml). A. tumefaciens 18 was grown in YEP rich or AB minimal medium (Lichtenstein and Draper, 1986) 19 containing the appropriate antibiotics (rifampicin, 10 µg/ml; kanamycin, 100 µg/ml for 20 plates, 25 µg/ml for liquid growth).

21

22

Maize BMS Suspension culture and protoplast isolation

23 Maize Black Mexican Sweet (BMS) cells were grown at room temperature with 24 shaking (150 rpm) in medium containing MS salts (Gibco), 20g/l sucrose, 2 mg/l 2,4-25 dichlorophenoxy acetic acid, 200 mg/l inositol, 130 mg/l asparagine, 1.3mg/l niacin, 0.25 26 mg/l thiamine, 0.25mg/l pyridoxine, and 0.25mg/l calcium pantothenate. Cells were 27 isolated by centrifugation (1000 rpm for 2 min) and suspended in ISS medium (MS 28 medium containing 0.4 M mannitol, 50 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM sodium acetate, 15 mM 29 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mg/l thiamine, and 2 mg/l 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid [2,4-30 D]) containing 2% Cellulase (Dyadic International, Inc, Jupiter, FL), 0.1% Pectolyase

1 (Sigma, St. Louis), and 0.1% BSA. The BMS cells were incubated for 2 hr with shaking 2 at 80 rpm. Protoplasts were harvested, purified by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 10 min, 3 and suspended in 50 ml EPS (MS plus 0.4 M mannitol, 10 mM HEPES [pH 9.6], 130 4 mM KCl, and 4 mM CaCl₂). Electroporation was carried out using a BioRad GenePulser 5 at 200 V, capacitance 1200, and 100 msec discharge. Following electroporation, the cells 6 were transferred to Petri dishes containing EPS with 0.5 M mannitol and incubated in the 7 dark at room temperature until assayed for GUS activity 18 hr later. 8 9 Transformation procedures 10 Transgenic tobacco plants were generated and maintained as previously described 11 (Ni et al., 1995). Transgenic maize plants (genotype Hi-II) were generated as previously 12 described (Frame et al., 2000). 13 14 GUS activity assays

Leaf explants were generated using a paper punch and assayed for βglucuronidase(GUS) activity fluorimetrically as previously described (Jefferson et al.,
17 1987).

1	Literature Cited
2	Becker D, Kemper E, Schell J, Masterson R (1992) New plant binary vectors with
3	selectable markers located proximal to the left T-DNA border. Plant Mol Biol 20:
4	1195-1197
5	Butaye KMJ, Goderis IJWM, Wouters PFJ, Pues JM-TG, Delaure SL,
6	Broekaert WF, Depicker A, Cammue BPA, DeBolle FC (2004) Stable high-
7	level transgene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana using gene silencing mutants
8	and matrix attachment regions. Plant J 39: 440-449
9	Callis J, Fromm M, Walbot V (1987) Introns increase gene expression in cultured
10	maize cells. Genes Devel 1: 1183-1200
11	Christensen AH, Sharrock RA, Quail PH (1992) Maize polyubiquitin genes:
12	Structure, thermal perturbation of expression and transcript splicing, and promoter
13	activity following transfer to protoplasts by electroporation. Plant Mol Biol 18:
14	675-689
15	Cornejo MJ, Luth D, Blankenship KM, Anderson OD, Blechl AE (1993) Activity of
16	maize ubiquitin promoter in transgenic rice. Plant Mol Biol 23: 567-581
17	Crane YM, Gelvin SB (2007). RNAi-mediated gene silencing reveals involvement of
18	Arabidopsis chromatin-related genes in Agrobacterium-mediated root
19	transformation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 15156-15161
20	De Bolle MFC, Butaye KMJ, Coucke WJW, Goderis IJWM, Wouters PFJ,
21	van Boxel N, Broekaert WF, Commue BPA (2003) Analysis of the influence of
22	promoter elements and a matrix attachment region on the inter-individual
23	variation of transgene expression in populations of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant
24	Sci 164: 169-179
25	Ellis JG, Ryder MH, Tate ME (1984) Agrobacterium tumefaciens TR-DNA encodes a
26	pathway for agropine biosynthesis. Mol Gen Genet 195: 466-473
27	Frame BR, Zhang H, Cocciolone SM, Sidorenko LV, Dietrich CR, Pegg SE,
28	Zhen S, Schnable PS, Wang K (2000) Production of transgenic maize from
29	bombarded type II callus: Effect of gold particle size and callus morphology on
30	transformation efficiency. In Vitro Cell Devel Biol-Plant 36: 21-29
31	Frisch DA, van der Geest AHM, Dias K, Hall TC (1995) Chromosomal

1	integration is required for spacial regulation of expression from the β -pasiolin
2	promoter. Plant J 7: 503-512
3	Gallie DR, Walbot V (1992) Identification of the motifs within the tobacco mosaic virus
4	5'-leader responsible for enhancing translation. Nucl Acids Res 20: 4631-4638
5	Gaspar YM, Nam J, Schultz CJ, Lee L-Y, Gilson PR, Gelvin SB, Bacic A (2004)
6	Characterization of the Arabidopsis lysine-rich arabinogalactan-protein
7	AtAGP17 mutant (rat1) that results in a decreased efficiency of Agrobacterium
8	transformation. Plant Physiol 135: 2162-2171
9	He S, Abad A, Gelvin S, Mackenzie S (1996) A CMS-associated mitochondrial protein
10	causes pollen disruption in transgenic tobacco. Proc Natl.Acad Sci USA 93:
11	11763-11768
12	Hood EE, Gelvin SB, Melchers LS, Hoekema A (1993) New Agrobacterium
13	helper plasmids for gene transfer to plants. Transgen Res 2: 208-218
14	Hood EE, Helmer GL, Fraley RT, Chilton M-D (1986) The hypervirulence of
15	Agrobacterium tumefaciens A281 is encoded in a region of pTiBo542 outside of
16	T-DNA. J Bacteriol 168: 1291-1301
17	Holtorf S, Apel K, Bohlmann H (1995) Comparison of different constitutive and
18	inducible promoters for the overexpression of transgenes in Arabidopsis thaliana.
19	Plant Mol Biol 29 : 637-646
20	Hwang H-H, Gelvin SB (2004) Plant proteins that interact with VirB2, the
21	Agrobacterium tumefaciens pilin protein, mediate plant transformation. Plant
22	Cell 16 : 3148-3167
23	Hwang H-H, Mysore S, Gelvin SB (2006) Transgenic Arabidopsis plants
24	expressing Agrobacterium tumefaciens VirD2 protein are less susceptible to
25	Agrobacterum transformation. Mol Plant Pathol 7: 473-484
26	Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW (1987) GUS fusions: β -glucuronidase as a
27	sensitive and versitile gene fusion marker in higher plants. EMBO J 6: 3901-
28	3907.
29	Kim S-I, Veena, Gelvin SB (2007) Genome-wide analysis of Agrobacterium T-DNA
30	integration sites in the Arabidopsis genome generated under non-selective
31	conditions. Plant J doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03183.x

1	Kononov ME, Bassuner B, Gelvin SB (1997) Integration of T-DNA binary
2	vector "backbone" sequences into the tobacco genome: Evidence for multiple
3	complex patterns of integration. Plant J 11: 945-957
4	Lee L-Y, Gelvin SB (2004) Osa protein constitutes a strong oncogenic
5	suppression system that can block vir-dependent transfer of IncQ plasmids
6	between Agrobacterium cells, and the transfer of T-DNA and IncQ plasmids to
7	plant cells. J Bacteriol 186: 7254-7261
8	Leisner SM, Gelvin SB (1988) Structure of the octopine synthase upstream activator
9	sequence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85: 2553-2557
10	Lichtenstein C, Draper J (1986) Genetic engineering of plants. In DM Glover,
11	ed, DNA Cloning. A Practical Approach, IRL Press, Washington DC, pp 67-119
12	Luehrsen KR, Walbot V (1994) Addition of A- and U-rich sequence increases the
13	splicing efficiency of a deleted form of a maize intron. Plant Mol Biol 24: 449-
14	463
15	Mascarenhas D, Mettler IJ, Pierce DA, Lowe HW (1990) Intron-mediated
16	enhancement of heterologous gene expression in maize. Plant Mol Biol 15: 913-
17	920
18	Mysore KS, Bassuner B, Deng X-b, Darbinian NS, Motchoulski A, Ream W,
19	Gelvin SB (1998) Role of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens VirD2 protein in
20	T-DNA transfer and integration. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 11: 668-683
21	Mysore KS, Nam J, Gelvin SB (2000) An Arabidopsis histone H2A mutant is
22	deficient in Agrobacterium T-DNA integration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:
23	948-953
24	Nam J, Matthysse AG, Gelvin SB (1997) Differences in susceptibility of
25	Arabidopsis ecotypes to crown gall disease may result from a deficiency in T-
26	DNA integration. Plant Cell 9: 317-333
27	Nam J, Mysore KS, Gelvin SB (1998) Agrobacterium tumefaciens transformation of
28	the radiation hypersensitive Arabidopsis thaliana mutants uvh1 and rad5. Mol
29	Plant-Microbe Interact 11: 1136-1141
30	Nam J, Mysore KS, Zheng C, Knue MK, Matthysse AG, Gelvin SB (1999)
31	Identification of T-DNA tagged Arabidopsis mutants that are resistant to

1	transformation by Agrobacterium. Mol Gen Genet 261: 429-438
2	Narasimhulu SB, Deng X-B, Sarria R, Gelvin SB (1996) Early transcription of
3	Agrobacterium T-DNA genes in tobacco and maize. Plant Cell 8: 873-886
4	Ni M, Cui D, Einstein J, Narasimhulu S, Vergara CE, Gelvin SB (1995) Strength and
5	tissue specificity of chimeric promoters derived from the octopine and mannopine
6	synthase genes. Plant J 7: 661-676
7	Rostrepo MA, Freed DD, Carrington JC (1990) Nuclear transport of plant potyviral
8	proteins. Plant Cell 2: 987-998
9	Vancanneyt G, Schmidt R, O'Connor-Sanchez A, Willmitzer L, Rocha-Sosa M
10	(1990) Construction of an intron-containing marker gene: Splicing of the intron in
11	transgenic plants and its use in monitoring early events in Agrobacterium-
12	mediated plant transformation. Mol Gen Genet 220: 245-250
13	Veena, Jiang H, Doerge RW, Gelvin SB (2003) Transfer of T-DNA and Vir
14	proteins to plant cells by Agrobacterium tumefaciens induces expression of host
15	genes involved in mediating transformation and suppresses host defense gene
16	expression. Plant J 35 : 219-236
17	Vieira J, Messing J (1987) Production of single-stranded plasmid DNA. Meth Enzym
18	153 : 3-11.
19	Williamson JD, Hirsch-Wyncott ME, Larkins BA, Gelvin SB (1989) Differential
20	accumulation of a transcript driven by the CaMV 35S promoter in transgenic
21	tobacco. Plant Physiol 90:1570-1576
22	Yi H, Mysore KS, Gelvin S (2002) Expression of the Arabidopsis histone H2A-1
23	gene correlates with susceptibility to Agrobacterium transformation. Plant J 32:
24	285-298
25	Zhu Y, Nam J, Humara JM, Mysore KS, Lee L-Y, Cao H, Valentine L, Li J, Kaiser
26	AD, Kopecky AL, Hwang H-H, Bhattacharjee S, Rao PK, Tzfira T,
27	Rajagopal J, Yi H, Veena, Yadav BS, Crane YM, Lin K, Larcher Y, Gelvin
28	MJK, Knue M, Ramos-Oliva C, Zhao X, Davis SJ, Kim S-I, Ranjith-Kumar
29	CT, Choi Y-J, Hallan VK, Chattopadhyay S, Sui X, Ziemienowicz A,
30	Matthysse AG, Citovsky V, Hohn B, Gelvin SB (2003) Identification of
31	Arabidopsis rat mutants. Plant Physiol 132: 494-505
32	

Figure Legends

2 Figure 1. Maps of the pUC119-based pMSP series of plasmids. pExxxx numbers at the right of each plasmid map indicate Gelvin laboratory stock numbers. amp^r indicates that 3 4 the plasmid confers resistance to ampicillin upon host bacteria. Aocs, octopine synthase 5 transcriptional activating element; AmasPmas, mannopine synthase 2' activating and 6 promoter elements; *ags*-ter, polyA addition signal from the agropine synthase gene; TL, 7 translational leader sequence from Tobacco Etch Virus; ADHin, intron from the maize 8 alcohol dehydrogenase I gene. Restriction endonuclease sites: A, ApaI; B, BamHI; Bc, 9 BclI; Bg, BglII; Bx, BstXI; H, HindIII; N, NotI; Nc, NcoI; P, PstI; RI, EcoRI; SI, SacI; 10 SII, SacII; S, SalI; Sm, SmaI; Sp, SpeI; Xb, XbaI; X, XhoI. Restriction endonuclease sites 11 within parentheses are not unique to the plasmid. The sequence below pMSP-2 shows 12 the translational reading frame following the *NcoI* site which is at the 3' end of the TEV 13 translational leader sequence.

14

15 Figure 2. Maps of the T-DNA binary vectors based upon the pMSP series of plasmids. 16 pExxxx numbers at the right of each plasmid map indicate Gelvin laboratory stock numbers. kan^r indicates that the plasmid confers resistance to kanamycin upon host 17 18 bacteria. Pnos, nopaline synthase promoter; tAg7, polyA addition signal for T-DNA 19 gene 7; *hptII*, gene conferring resistance to hygromycin; *nptII*, gene conferring resistance 20 to kanamycin; bar, gene conferring resistance to phosphinothricin/Basta/Bialophos. 21 Other symbols and restriction endonuclease sites are as in the legend to Figure 1. The 22 bar gene contains KpnI and SalI sites; therefore, these sites are not unique to T-DNA 23 binary vectors containing the *bar* gene (indicated by [K] and [SI]). (A) T-DNA binary 24 vectors based upon the pMSP-1 series of plasmids; (B) T-DNA binary vectors based 25 upon the pMSP-2 series of plasmids; (C) T-DNA binary vectors based upon the pMSP-3 26 series of plasmids.

27

Figure 3. GUS activity (pmole/min/mg protein) directed by the super-promoter in various tobacco and maize tissues and organs. L1,2...etc., Leaf number; MF, maize flower; R, root; R-o, old root section; R-y, young root section; S, stem section. Numbers

- 1 in parentheses indicate the number of samples analyzed for each tissue or organ.
- 2

Figure 4. Comparison of the relative strengths of various promoters in the leaves and roots of transgenic maize plants. *Ubi*, maize ubiquitin promoter. n, number of individual transgenic plants analyzed. The large standard deviation most likely reflects the "position effect" of T-DNA integration upon transgene activity in independent transgenic events.

8

9 Figure 5. Constructions used for maize BMS protoplast transfection experiments. *nos*,
10 nopaline synthase polyA addition signal sequence; p*Ubi*, maize ubiquitin promoter.

11

Figure 6. Comparison of the relative strengths of various promoters (lacking or containing introns in the *gusA* gene) in transiently transfected maize BMS protoplasts.
Numbers represent the average of four independent experiments, with standard deviation.
C, control construction lacking a promoter; *mas*, *mas2*' promoter, 35S, CaMV 35S
promoter; sp, super-promoter.

17

Figure 7. Effect of the maize ubiquitin intron upon promoter activity in stably transformed maize leaves. n, number of individual transgenic plants analyzed. -, constructions lacking the maize ubiquitin intron; +, constructions containing the maize ubiquitin intron. The large standard deviation in the data from maize plants containing the maize ubiquitin promoter plus intron construction most likely reflects the "position effect" of T-DNA integration upon transgene activity in independent transgenic events.



pMSP-3







I. No Intron



IV. Promoterless gusA

gusA	nos



